

## Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, February 2024–February 2025

(not seasonally adjusted)

Total 360 Areas: 189 (53%) with gains, 125 (35%) with losses, 46 unchanged. US total construction employment change: +2.1% (seasonally adjusted).

### Most Jobs Added

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>February '24</u>	<u>February '25</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on:</u> <u>gain/loss % change</u>	
1 Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall, FL Div.	Construction	57,400	61,800	4,400	8%	1	32
1 Boise City, ID	Mining, Logging, and Construction	34,200	38,600	4,400	13%	1	6
3 Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	Construction	92,800	97,100	4,300	5%	3	74
4 Tampa, FL Div.	Construction	67,700	71,400	3,700	5%	4	74
5 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	164,900	168,300	3,400	2%	5	148
5 New Orleans-Metairie, LA	Construction	23,300	26,700	3,400	15%	5	5
7 Fort Worth-Arlington-Grapevine, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	83,600	86,700	3,100	4%	7	94
7 Arlington-Alexandria-Reston, VA-WV Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	82,600	85,700	3,100	4%	7	94
9 Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	50,000	52,900	2,900	6%	9	59
10 Salt Lake City-Murray, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	58,000	60,700	2,700	5%	10	74

### Most Jobs Lost

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>February '24</u>	<u>February '25</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on:</u> <u>gain/loss % change</u>	
1 Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div.	Construction	151,500	143,300	-8,200	-5%	360	317
2 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	140,200	134,100	-6,100	-4%	359	303
2 Oakland-Fremont-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	73,000	67,800	-5,200	-7%	358	339
4 Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	Construction	113,700	108,700	-5,000	-4%	357	303
5 Seattle-Bellevue-Kent, WA Div.	Construction	72,100	67,300	-4,800	-7%	356	339
6 Phoenix-Mesa-Chandler, AZ	Construction	182,200	178,400	-3,800	-2%	355	263
7 Jersey City-White Plains, NY-NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	67,800	64,400	-3,400	-5%	354	317
8 Nassau County-Suffolk County, NY Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	77,900	74,900	-3,000	-4%	353	303
9 Virginia Beach-Chesapeake-Norfolk, VA-NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	41,800	38,900	-2,900	-7%	352	339
10 Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA	Construction	80,300	77,500	-2,800	-3%	351	283

### Largest Percentage Gains

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>February '24</u>	<u>February '25</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on:</u> <u>gain/loss % change</u>	
1 Kokomo, IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	2,200	400	22%	65	1
2 Battle Creek, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	1,900	300	19%	94	2
3 Anniston-Oxford, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,200	1,400	200	17%	113	3
3 Las Cruces, NM	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,200	4,900	700	17%	45	3
5 New Orleans-Metairie, LA	Construction	23,300	26,700	3,400	15%	5	5
6 Boise City, ID	Mining, Logging, and Construction	34,200	38,600	4,400	13%	1	6
6 Coeur d'Alene, ID	Construction	6,800	7,700	900	13%	34	6
6 Jackson, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,300	2,600	300	13%	94	6
9 Grand Forks, ND-MN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,600	2,900	300	12%	94	9
9 Canton-Massillon, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,400	9,400	1,000	12%	29	9

### Largest Percentage Losses

<u>Area<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Industry<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>February '24</u>	<u>February '25</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>% change</u>	<u>Rank<sup>3</sup> based on:</u> <u>gain/loss % change</u>	
1 Elizabethtown, KY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,600	2,000	-600	-23%	318	360
2 Monroe, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,200	1,900	-300	-14%	292	359
2 Pueblo, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	3,600	-400	-10%	304	356
3 Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	23,900	21,500	-2,400	-10%	350	356
3 Bellingham, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,200	7,400	-800	-10%	329	356
6 Flagstaff, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,300	3,000	-300	-9%	292	353
6 Fort Collins-Loveland, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,600	10,600	-1,000	-9%	333	353
6 Bozeman, MT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,900	7,200	-700	-9%	323	353
9 Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,900	4,500	-400	-8%	304	347
9 Grand Junction, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,400	5,900	-500	-8%	314	347
9 Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	18,300	16,900	-1,400	-8%	340	347
9 Lake Charles, LA	Construction	11,100	10,200	-900	-8%	331	347
9 Fargo, ND-MN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,200	8,500	-700	-8%	323	347

#### Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup>**Area:** Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div). MSA totals are shown but not included in rankings to avoid double counting of the divisions.

<sup>2</sup>**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. AGC attributes all employment in these metros to construction. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

<sup>3</sup>**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data, rounded to the nearest 100, for 360 metro areas. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)

<sup>4</sup>**New York City:** BLS posts mining, logging, and construction data for the New York-Jersey City-White Plains metro division and for the New York City "special area," which is part of the metro division. To avoid double counting, these tables treat New York City and Jersey City-White Plains (excluding NYC) as separate areas.